

Chapter 9

t -Motifs with Large Endomorphism Rings

9.1 O_D -Motifs

9.1.1. Fix a finite field k of cardinality q and a field F/k of transcendence degree 1 whose field of constants is k . Choose a place ∞ of F . Pick a function $t \in F$ that has a pole of order prime to p at ∞ and is regular everywhere else. Such a function exists by Riemann-Roch. Let $A \subset F$ be the ring of functions that have poles only at ∞ . Then A is a $k[t]$ -algebra—the integral closure of $k[t]$ in F .

Denote the degree of F over $k(t)$ by r .

9.1.2. Also, fix a central simple F -algebra D of dimension d^2 . If L is an algebraically closed field containing k , then $D \otimes_k L$ is isomorphic to the full $d \times d$ matrix algebra over $F \otimes_k L$ by Tsen's Theorem.⁽¹⁾ We will assume that D is unramified at ∞ , that is, that $D \otimes_F F_\infty \approx M(d, F_\infty)$. Choose a maximal A -order $O_D \subset D$ (the condition that O_D be maximal is not really essential.) The objects that will be parametrised in the following chapters can be thought of as certain classes of 'effective t -motifs with O_D -multiplication'.

⁽¹⁾*Hauptsatz* of [TSEN 1933].

9.1.3. Let K be a field containing k , equipped with an injective and k -linear homomorphism $A \rightarrow K$, and hence *a fortiori* equipped with an injective structure homomorphism $k[t] \rightarrow K$.

Definition. An O_D -motif is a pair (M, α) consisting of

- an effective t -motif M over K , of rank rd^2 and,
- a homomorphism $\alpha : O_D \rightarrow \text{End}(M)$ of $k[t]$ -algebras,

such that M is free of rank d over $K[\sigma]$ and such that the induced map

$$O_D \otimes_A K^a \rightarrow \text{End}(M_{K^a}/\sigma M_{K^a})$$

is an isomorphism of K^a -algebras. A *morphism* between such objects is an O_D -equivariant map of effective t -motifs.

Some immediate consequences of the definition follow. The actions of t and θ on $M_{K^a}/\sigma M_{K^a}$ coincide. There is a natural structure of left $O_D \otimes_k K$ -module on M and likewise $M(t)$ is a left $D \otimes_k K$ -module. Over K^a , the top exterior power $\wedge^{rd^2} M$ is isomorphic to C^d .

9.1.4. This definition contains the Drinfeld A -modules of all ranks, albeit indirectly, through the mechanism of Morita equivalence which we recall here.

Let R be any ring and n an integer. Consider the functor

$$M \rightsquigarrow N \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} nM = M \oplus M \oplus \cdots \oplus M$$

from the category of left R -modules to the category of left $M(n, R)$ -modules. Let e be the idempotent matrix whose first entry equals 1 and which is zero in all other entries. Then a two-sided inverse functor is given by $N \rightsquigarrow M \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} eN$ and one says that R and $M(n, R)$ are *Morita equivalent*.⁽²⁾

Now if $D = M(d, F)$ and $O_D = M(d, A)$ and M an O_D -motif, then as above, $M \approx N^d$ as $A \otimes K$ -modules. Since the idempotent e can be taken inside O_D —so that σ and e commute—the module N inherits a

⁽²⁾After Kiiti MORITA who investigated when two rings have equivalent categories of modules. See [MORITA 1958].

semilinear action and becomes an effective t -motif of rank one over $K[\sigma]$ carrying an action of A . In short: N is a Drinfeld A -module (see §1.3). It follows that the category of $M(d, A)$ -motifs is equivalent to the category of Drinfeld A -modules of A -rank d .

9.2 Purity & Analytic Triviality

9.2.1. Assume that K is algebraically closed. By Tsen's Theorem there exists a finite field l containing k and contained in K such that $D \otimes_k l$ is isomorphic to $M(d, F \otimes_k l)$. Choose such an l and denote by n its degree over k .

Lemma. *Let M be an O_D -motif. There exists an $M(d, A)$ -motif M' such that $M(t) \approx M'(t)$ as $K(t)[\sigma^n]$ -modules.*

Proof. Choose an isomorphism $D \otimes_k l \rightarrow M(d, F \otimes_k l)$ such that $O_D \otimes_k l$ lands inside $M(d, A \otimes_k l)$. This is possible since all maximal orders in $M(d, F \otimes_k l)$ are conjugate. The following commutative diagram summarises the resulting identifications.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \otimes_k l & \longrightarrow & M(d, F \otimes_k l) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ O_D \otimes_k l & \longrightarrow & M(d, A \otimes_k l) \end{array}$$

Note that the commutative square is τ^n -equivariant but it is τ -equivariant *only* when $D \approx M(d, F)$.

Assume first that M is a free $O_D \otimes_k K$ -module and let e be a generator. Then the t -motif M is determined by the image of e under σ and thus by the $S \in O_D \otimes_k K$ with $\sigma(e) = Se$. Put $M' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M(d, A \otimes_k K)f$, the $M(d, A)$ -motif generated by f and with $\sigma(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Sf$. Here S acts via the embedding $O_D \otimes_k K \rightarrow M(d, A \otimes_k K)$ induced from the identifications of the commutative square. Clearly $M'(t) \approx M(t)$ as $K(t)[\sigma^n]$ -modules.

In general M is not free but locally free (for the Zariski topology on A). It can be embedded in a free module $(O_D \otimes_k K)^m$ for some m . Let e_1, \dots, e_s be a generating set for $M \subset (O_D \otimes_k K)^m$. Then define

M' as the $M(d, A \otimes_k K)$ -module generated by the images of e_1, \dots, e_s in $M(d, A \otimes_k K)^m$. By the identifications M' carries a σ -action and by construction $M'(t) \approx M(t)$ as $K(t)[\sigma^n]$ -modules. \square

9.2.2. $M(d, A)$ -motifs are direct sums of Drinfeld modules and we have already seen that Drinfeld modules are pure (6.2.2) and analytically trivial (3.2.7). Let M be an O_D -motif. The Lemma readily implies:

Corollary. *M is analytically trivial and pure of weight $1/dr$.*

Proof. Let M be an O_D -motif and take M' as in the Lemma.

M' is pure of weight $1/dr$, and it follows immediately from the definition of pureness that M is also pure of the same weight.

As M' is a direct sum of Drinfeld modules, it is analytically trivial. Thus, in particular

$$M(\{t\})^{\sigma^n} \approx M'(\{t\})^{\sigma^n} \approx l(t)^{rd^2}.$$

The action of σ on the left hand side induces a semi-linear action on $l(t)^{rd^2}$ and Hilbert 90 (b.1.1) implies that $M(\{t\})^\sigma \approx k(t)^{rd^2}$ and that M is analytically trivial. \square