

# Firm relocation and regional policy

# Nederlands Geografische Studies / Netherlands Geographical Studies

## Redactie / Editorial Board

Drs. J.G. Borchert (Editor in Chief)  
Prof.Dr. J.M.M. van Amersfoort  
Dr. H.J.A. Berendsen  
Dr. P.C.J. Druijven  
Prof.Dr. A.O. Kouwenhoven  
Prof.Dr. H. Scholten

## Plaatselijke Redacteuren / Local Editors

Drs. R. van Melik,  
Faculteit Geowetenschappen Universiteit Utrecht  
Dr. D.H. Drenth,  
Faculteit Beleidswetenschappen Katholieke Universiteit Nijmegen  
Dr. P.C.J. Druijven,  
Faculteit der Ruimtelijke Wetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Drs. F.L.P.M. Kwaad,  
Fysisch-Geografisch en Bodemkundig laboratorium Universiteit van Amsterdam  
Dr. L. van der Laan,  
Economisch-Geografisch Instituut Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam  
Dr. J.A. van der Schee,  
Centrum voor Educatieve Geografie Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam  
Dr. F. Thissen,  
Instituut voor Sociale Geografie Universiteit van Amsterdam

## Redactie-Adviseurs / Editorial Advisory Board

Prof.Dr. G.J. Ashworth, Prof.Dr. P.G.E.F. Augustinus, Prof.Dr. G.J. Borger,  
Prof.Dr. K. Bouwer, Prof.Dr. J. Buursink, Dr. C. Cortie, Dr. J. Floor,  
Prof.Dr. G.A. Hoekveld, Dr. A.C. Imeson, Prof.Dr. J.M.G. Kleinpenning,  
Dr. W.J. Meester, Prof.Dr. F.J. Ormeling, Prof.Dr. H.F.L. Ottens,  
Dr. J. Sevink, Dr. W.F. Slegers, T.Z. Smit, Drs. P.J.M. van Steen,  
Dr. J.J. Sterkenburg, Drs. H.A.W. van Vianen, Prof.Dr. J. van Weesep

ISSN 0169-4839

Netherlands Geographical Studies 331

Firm relocation and regional policy

A focus on Italy, the Netherlands and  
the United Kingdom

Ilaria Mariotti

Utrecht/Groningen 2005

Royal Dutch Geographical Society /  
Department of Spatial Sciences University of Groningen

Deze publicatie werd verdedigd als academisch proefschrift aan de Rijksuniversiteit Groningen op 30 juni 2005

Promotor: Prof. dr. P.H. Pellenbarg

Beoordelingscommissie: Prof. dr. J. van Dijk  
Prof. dr. L.G.J. van Wissen  
Prof. dr. O.A.L.C. Atzema

ISBN 90-6809-371-1

Copyright © Faculteit der Ruimtelijke Wetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen, 2005

Niets uit deze uitgave mag worden vermenigvuldigd en/of openbaar gemaakt door middel van druk, fotokopie of op welke andere wijze dan ook zonder voorafgaande schriftelijke toestemming van de uitgevers

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any forms, by print or photoprint, microfilm or any other means, without written permission by the publishers.

Gedrukt door het Grafisch Centrum, Rijksuniversiteit Groningen  
Voorkant: pentekening K.D. Schönfeld

## CONTENTS

Figures	8
Tables	9
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>13</b>
1.1 The research problem	13
1.1.1 Defining firm relocation	14
1.2 Objective, research questions and hypotheses	16
1.2.1 Objective	16
1.2.2 Research questions and hypotheses	19
1.3 Scientific and social relevance	21
1.4 Research design	22
1.4.1 Methodology	22
1.4.2 Overview of the chapters	24
<b>2 Theoretical framework</b>	<b>26</b>
2.1 Introduction	26
2.2 The neoclassical approach	26
2.3 The behavioural approach	28
2.4 The institutional approach	30
2.5 The evolutionary approach	31
2.6 Comparison and conclusions	32
<b>3 Regional policies and their impact on firm relocation: an overview</b>	<b>36</b>
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 The traditional approach	37
3.3 The contemporary approach	40
3.4 The influence of EU competition, structural and cohesion policies on national policies	43
3.5 Conclusions	44
<b>4 Firm relocation: a survey</b>	<b>48</b>
4.1 Introduction	48
4.2 From 1945 to the 1970s	48
4.2.1 Industrial decentralisation	50
4.2.2 Industrial suburbanisation	51
4.3 From the 1980s to the present	52

4.3.1	Intra-regional moves	53
4.3.2	International moves	54
4.3.3	New research interests	55
4.4	Conclusions	57
<b>5</b>	<b>Firm relocation at country level: Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom</b>	<b>59</b>
5.1	Introduction	59
5.2	The business registration system	59
5.2.1	Italy	59
5.2.2	The Netherlands	62
5.2.3	The United Kingdom	64
5.3	Firm relocation databases	66
5.4	Firm relocation in the three countries in 1990-2000s	67
5.4.1	Italy	68
5.4.2	The Netherlands	72
5.4.3	The United Kingdom	77
5.5	Comparison and conclusions	82
<b>6</b>	<b>Regional policy measures and their impact on firm relocation: the cases of Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom</b>	<b>88</b>
6.1	Introduction	88
6.2	Italy	90
6.2.1	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1945-1980s	90
6.2.2	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1990-2000s	93
6.2.3	A focus on the Area Contract	100
6.3	The Netherlands	105
6.3.1	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1945-1980s	106
6.3.2	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1990-2000s	114
6.3.3	A focus on the IPR premium	119
6.4	The United Kingdom	128
6.4.1	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1945-1980s	129
6.4.2	Regional policy measures and firm relocation in 1990-2000s	135
6.4.3	A focus on the Regional Selective Assistance	142
6.5	Summary and concluding remarks	145
<b>7</b>	<b>Core-periphery relocation in Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom. A firm level investigation</b>	<b>154</b>
7.1	Introduction	154

7.2	The relocation of Italian firms from the centre-north to the Mezzogiorno and the role of the Area Contract	155
7.2.1	Descriptive statistics	155
7.2.2	Other elements on the relocation process	165
7.2.3	Conclusions for the Italian case	168
7.3	The relocation of Dutch firms from the Randstad to the Northern provinces and the role of the IPR premium	169
7.3.1	Descriptive statistics	169
7.3.2	Other elements on the relocation process	174
7.3.3	Conclusions for the Dutch case	177
7.4	The relocation of British firms from the South East to the periphery and the role of the RSA measure	177
7.4.1	Descriptive statistics	177
7.4.2	Other elements on the relocation process	185
7.4.3	Conclusions for the British case	186
7.5	Conclusions	187
<b>8</b>	<b>Cross-border delocalisation</b>	<b>191</b>
8.1	Introduction	191
8.2	Firm internationalisation strategies	192
8.3	Cross-border delocalisation: the case of Italy	195
8.4	Cross-border delocalisation: the case of the Netherlands	200
8.5	The impact of international delocalisation on the home country: cross-border delocalisation versus core-periphery relocation	206
8.6	Conclusions	209
<b>9</b>	<b>Summary and conclusions</b>	<b>212</b>
9.1	Summary	212
9.1.1	Introduction	212
9.1.2	The theoretical approaches	212
9.1.3	Firm relocation studies and regional policies	213
9.1.4	Firm relocation in Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom	214
9.1.5	Core-periphery relocation in Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom and the role of regional policies	215
9.1.6	Cross-border delocalisation	217
9.2	Conclusions	218
9.2.1	Trends for regional policies	219
9.2.2	Further research	220

Samenvatting	221
Bibliography	229
Appendices	248
Acknowledgement	277

## FIGURES

1.1	Types of firm relocation	15
1.2	The core are and the periphery in Italy	17
1.3	The core are and the periphery in the Netherlands	17
1.4	The core are and the periphery in the United Kingdom	18
5.1, 5.2	Net firm migration in Italy in 1999 and sectoral patterns	69
5.3	Firm migration in the Netherlands, 1986-1995	72
5.4, 5.5	Interprovincial firm migration 1990-91 and 1994-95	73
5.6	Manufacturing firm migrations with more than 10 employees per COROP region in 2001	76
5.7	Total volume of company relocations in the UK, 1988-1999	77
5.8, 5.9	Net firm migration in the United Kingdom and destination of investments from the South East (1988-1999)	79
5.10	Origin of investments towards the South-East (1988-1999)	80
5.11	Net job migration in 1988-1999	80
6.1	Manufacturing Initiatives in the Mezzogiorno subsidised by Law 488 (1996-2002)	96
6.2	Geographical distribution of Contratti d'area and Protocolli aggiuntivi	101
6.3	Area coverage of studies on the volume and type of movement, 1950-1980	105
6.4	Policy coverage in 1952-1958	109
6.5	Policy coverage in 1969-1972	109
6.6	Policy coverage in 1978-1981	110
6.7	Policy coverage in 1982-1985	110
6.8	Area coverage of studies on the volume and type of movement, 1950-1980	113
6.9	The municipalities in the Northern Netherlands and their prospects with regard to IPR	121
6.10	Geographical distribution of the Decentralised IPR projects in the Northern provinces	123

6.11	Geographical distribution of the Decentralised IPR projects by average investment (€000)	123
6.12	Geographical distribution of the project investments – New plants	124
6.13	Geographical distribution of the project investments – Expansions	125
6.14	Investment volume per job created	127
6.15	Manufacturing movement to the peripheral area from the Southeast	133
8.1	Countries in Central and Eastern Europe receiving Italian and Dutch investments	192
8.2	The industrial districts under survey	198
8.3	Transfer of production capacity within two years	203
8.4	Takeover of R&D by low-wage countries	203

## TABLES

2.1	Relocation approaches and determinants	33
3.1	Traditional and contemporary regional policies: a comparison	45
5.1	The Business Registration Systems	61
5.2	Code system of balance of change	63
5.3	Enterprises and self-employment on and off the IDBR (millions)	65
5.4	The Mutation Balance and the CREDO databases	67
5.5	Head office relocation in 1999 by sectors	70
5.6	Inward and outward moves of branch plants and the concomitant employment	251
5.7	Branch plants – and related employment– in the Mezzogiorno belonging to firms from the Centre-North. Origin and destination of investments per region	252
5.8	Branch plants – and related employment – in the Mezzogiorno belonging to firms from the Centre-North. Origin of investments per region by sector	253
5.9	Branch plants – and related employment – in the Mezzogiorno belonging to firms from the Centre-North. Destination of investments per region by sector	254
5.10	Firm migration in the period 1986-1995	72
5.11	Firm mobility in the Netherlands in 1995	74
5.12	Southeast out - and in - migration at the regional level, 1988-1999 (number of firms moved)	79
5.13	Firms, jobs and average jobs per move in the Southeast, 1988-1999	81
5.14	Business moves by sector, 1988-1999	81

5.15	Industrial mobility by sector (percentage)	84
6.1	Regional policy measures in the EU Member States	89
6.2	Number of firms with more than 20 employees and employment in 1977, by ownership type	92
6.3	Number of new plants with over 1,000 employees according to type of ownership in the Mezzogiorno	92
6.4	Law 488/1992	95
6.5	Investment projects subsidised by Law 488/92 in the period 1996-2002	95
6.6	Industrial investment projects in the Mezzogiorno by Centre-Northern firms - Law 488/92 (from 1996 to the beginning of 2002)	96
6.7	Geographical distribution of centre-northern manufacturing projects that have been granted by Law 488 to invest in the Mezzogiorno – regions of origin and destination	255
6.8	Sectoral distribution of centre-northern manufacturing projects that have been granted by Law 488 to invest in the Mezzogiorno – regions of destination (absolute value)	256
6.9	The measures of the Negotiated Plans	98
6.10	Program Contracts approved between 1986 and 2001 by centre-northern and foreign firms	99
6.11	Area Contracts concerning de-industrialised areas where State-owned enterprises were located	102
6.12	Investment projects subsidised by the Area Contract and Protocolli aggiuntivi (at 31-12-2003)	102
6.13	Origin of the AC-granted investments	104
6.14	Decentralisation of manufacturing plants, with more than 10 employees, from the west to the three other parts of the country in 1950-62	112
6.15	Government budget for spatial economic policy, 2000-2006	115
6.16	The NOM Development Agency	118
6.17	Approved investment projects in the northern provinces, 2000-2002	119
6.18	Geographical distribution of investment projects subsidised by the Centralised IPR (1997-2002)	121
6.19	Investment projects subsidised by the Decentralised IPR (1993-1999 and 2000-2003)	123
6.20	Geographical distribution of the Decentralised IPR projects (€mln)	123
6.21	Sectorial distribution of the Decentralised IPR projects (new plants and enlargements)	126
6.22	Bureau Louter classification	257

6.23	Number of new full-time jobs involved in the projects by sector	126
6.24	Investment origin of a selected sample of 195 cases	127
6.25	Investment amount of a selected sample of 195 cases (€000) by origin	127
6.26	Measures of the Regional Industrial Assistance	131
6.27	Total government funding for Regional Development Agencies (£ million)	138
6.28	Expenditure on regional preferential assistance to industry in Great Britain, 1984-94	139
6.29	Appraisal criteria of the Regional Selective Assistance and the Enterprise Grant	140
6.30	Approved projects in 1991-1995	143
6.31	Number of projects and grant offered by project type	143
6.32	RSA applications by location of ownership	144
6.33	RSA offers by type of Assisted Areas in 1991-1995	144
6.34	Grant awarded by region and county	144
6.35	The components of regional policy in Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom	147
6.36	Regional incentive types in the three countries	147
6.37	Firm relocation and regional policy in Italy, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom (1945-2000s)	150
7.1	Geographical distribution of the AC-granted projects	276
7.2	Origin of the investments	156
7.3	Investment size (by Area Contract)	276
7.4	Motivations to relocate (pull factors)	158
7.5	What would have happened to the project if AC grant had not been awarded	158
7.6	Obstacles to investment location	159
7.7	Pull factors	161
7.8	Component Score Coefficient Matrix	161
7.9	Total variance explained (2 components)	162
7.10	Total variance explained (3 components)	162
7.11	Number of Cases in each Cluster	162
7.12	Final Cluster Centres	162
7.13	Geographical distribution of firms by clusters	164
7.14	Leading participants in the relocation process to the Mezzogiorno	165

7.15	Expenditure for the <i>per-capita</i> development (average 1999-2000, €)	167
7.16	Origin of IPR-granted firms that have invested in the northern provinces	170
7.17	Motivations to relocate (pull factors) for IPR-granted firms	171
7.18	What would have happened to the project if IPR grant had not been awarded	171
7.19	Ten highest rated towns in the Northern Netherlands in 1997, by location area of the respondents	173
7.20	Geographical distribution of RSA-granted projects	179
7.21	Country of ownership	179
7.22	The influence of RSA on investment decisions	180
7.23	Nature of competitive advantage of the RSA project over other suppliers	181
7.24	What would have happened to the project if RSA grant had not been awarded	182
7.25	Characteristics of additionality of sample projects by project size (% of grants)	182
7.26	Other locations that could have been chosen for the project	184
7.27	Other locations that were considered for the project	184
8.1	Internationalisation strategies	193
8.2	Internationalisation strategies of Italian firms (%)	195
8.3	Internationalisation strategies of the district SMEs (%)	196
8.4	District SMEs that acquire and sell in subcontracting	196
8.5	Activities that have been relocated to the SEECs (%)	199
8.6	Motivations to delocalise (%)	199
8.7	Countries of location	201
8.8	Internationalisation strategies of Dutch firms	205
9.1	Conclusions and accepted hypotheses	219